Emerging Issues in New Media, Culture and Society in Contemporary Time

Moven, Peter Joseph
Department of Mass Communication
Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt
Email: peter.moven@iaue.edu.ng
https://doi.org/10.56201/ijelcs.vol.11.no7.2026.pg136.151

Abstract
New media technologies have become integral to contemporary social life, transforming the ways people communicate, learn, maintain relationships, construct identities, participate in cultural activities, and access information. The expansion of social networking sites, mobile communication, online gaming, streaming services, and other internet-based platforms has created opportunities for social interaction, knowledge sharing, civic participation, and cultural exchange. However, these developments have also generated concerns relating to psychological well-being, cyberbullying, misinformation, privacy, digital inequality, online radicalisation, and the changing character of interpersonal relationships. This position paper examines the emerging issues associated with new media, culture, and society, arguing that digital technologies should neither be regarded as inherently beneficial nor dismissed as inherently destructive. Rather, their social consequences depend on the interaction between technological design, patterns of use, cultural circumstances, institutional responsibilities, and the availability of digital skills and resources. Drawing on scholarship on family communication, children's technology use, social media, cyberbullying, and digital well-being, the paper examines the opportunities and challenges associated with contemporary media environments. It argues that responsible digital participation requires critical media literacy, inclusive access, appropriate family and educational guidance, transparent platform governance, protection of privacy, and evidence-informed public policy. The paper concludes that new media should be developed and used in ways that strengthen social relationships, protect vulnerable users, promote cultural diversity, and support informed participation in society.

Keywords: *New media, digital culture, social interaction, social media, cyberbullying, digital inequality, psychological well-being, digital literacy.*

Introduction

Communication is fundamental to the existence and development of society because it enables individuals to exchange information, negotiate meanings, establish relationships, transmit cultural values, and coordinate collective activities. Families, educational institutions, religious organisations, communities, political groups, and professional associations depend on communication to sustain relationships and achieve shared objectives. Smith, Freeman, and Zabriskie (2009) examined family communication within the Core and Balance Model of Family Leisure Functioning, highlighting the importance of communication in understanding family relationships and shared leisure experiences. Communication, therefore, should not be understood merely as the transmission of messages but as a social process through which people construct relationships, negotiate identities, and maintain a sense of belonging.

The emergence and expansion of new media technologies have significantly transformed this process. Mobile phones, internet-based communication, social networking platforms, instant messaging applications, digital television, online gaming, and streaming services have expanded the speed, reach, and flexibility of communication. Individuals can now communicate across geographical boundaries, access information almost instantaneously, and participate in social networks that extend beyond their immediate physical environments. These developments have altered traditional distinctions between interpersonal communication and mass communication because a single user can simultaneously produce, distribute, receive, and respond to messages. Castells (2010) explains that communication networks have become central to the organisation of contemporary social life, influencing how information, power, and social relationships operate within networked societies.

New media, however, represents more than a collection of technological devices. It also constitutes a social and cultural environment within which people learn, work, establish identities, maintain relationships, participate in public discussion, and encounter different worldviews. Its integration into daily routines can influence family interaction, educational practices, cultural production, political participation, and economic activity. Mesch (2006) examined the relationship between internet use and family boundaries, demonstrating the importance of considering how digital technologies become incorporated into household relationships and routines. Morrison and Krugman (2001) similarly examined the roles of television and computer-mediated technologies in domestic life, showing why the social consequences of media should be considered in relation to the contexts in which people use them. Despite these opportunities, the expansion of new media has generated several concerns. Social networking platforms may expose users to harassment, harmful social comparison, misinformation, privacy violations, and pressure to remain constantly available. Digital technologies can also be exploited to distribute propaganda, manipulate public opinion, circulate extremist messages, and reproduce existing social inequalities. Nevertheless, these outcomes should not be attributed to technology alone. The consequences of digital participation vary according to the content encountered, the purpose of use, the characteristics of users, their social circumstances, and the practices of technology companies and public institutions. This paper advances the position that new media is neither an unqualified social good nor an inherently destructive force. Its social value depends on the conditions under which technologies are designed, accessed, governed, and incorporated into everyday life. Society should therefore pursue a balanced approach that protects the benefits of digital communication while addressing its identifiable risks. Such an approach requires digital literacy, responsible platform practices, inclusive access, appropriate institutional oversight, and sustained attention to the relationship between technological change and human well-being.

New Media Technology and the Transformation of Society

The Domestication of New Media Technologies

The domestication of technology describes the process through which technological devices become integrated into everyday routines and acquire particular meanings within households and other social settings. Technologies initially introduced for specific purposes may gradually become central to communication, entertainment, education, employment, shopping, and family organisation. As these practices become established, technology can influence how people allocate their time, arrange their activities, and interact with others. Mesch (2006) argues that internet use within the household should be understood in relation to family boundaries and relationships rather than as an isolated technological activity.

The incorporation of digital technologies into everyday life can produce both continuity and change. On the one hand, smartphones and messaging applications allow family members to maintain contact while travelling, working, studying, or living in different locations. Digital

communication can make it easier to coordinate domestic responsibilities, share family news, and organise collective activities. On the other hand, the same technologies may encourage individualised media consumption in which household members concentrate on separate screens instead of participating in shared activities. Consequently, physical proximity does not necessarily translate into meaningful social interaction.

The important issue is therefore not simply whether technology is present in the home but how its use is organised. Families that deliberately employ digital media for shared entertainment, educational activities, and communication may experience different outcomes from families in which devices consistently interrupt conversations and collective activities. This suggests that the social consequences of domestication depend on household practices, expectations, and relationships. New media should be evaluated in terms of how it contributes to or disrupts the social purposes for which people use it.

The Changing Nature of Interpersonal Communication

Traditional interpersonal communication has generally relied on face-to-face encounters, telephone conversations, letters, and other forms of direct interaction. New media has expanded these possibilities through text messaging, video calls, voice notes, group chats, and social networking platforms. These channels enable people to communicate more frequently and across greater distances than would otherwise be possible. They also allow users to share photographs, recordings, documents, and other forms of expression that enrich everyday communication. Digital communication can be particularly useful for families separated by migration, employment, education, or other circumstances. Relatives who live in different countries can maintain contact through video calls and messaging services, while friends can continue conversations despite demanding schedules. Yoon (2006), in a study of young people's mobile communication in Korea, examined the relationship between mobile technologies and local sociality. This work illustrates the importance of examining digital communication within the social and cultural circumstances of users rather than assuming that technological change produces identical experiences everywhere.

However, increased communication frequency does not necessarily guarantee stronger relationships. Digital exchanges may become superficial when they are dominated by brief reactions, repetitive notifications, or the pressure to respond immediately. Some users may also experience conflict when messages are misunderstood, private information is shared without consent, or online availability becomes an expectation. The position of this paper is that digital communication should complement meaningful interpersonal relationships rather than become a substitute for emotional attention, empathy, and direct engagement where these are needed.

New Media and Social Change

Social change involves alterations in the structures, practices, relationships, and institutions through which society operates. New media contributes to social change by altering how individuals acquire knowledge, maintain relationships, conduct business, access services, and participate in collective activities. Digital platforms can reduce geographical barriers, create new forms of employment, expand educational opportunities, and provide channels through which marginalised communities can express concerns.

Castells (2010) explains that networked communication is closely associated with the changing organisation of contemporary society. Digital networks allow information to circulate rapidly across social and geographical boundaries, creating opportunities for collective action and the coordination of activities. Individuals can participate in online campaigns, contribute to community discussions, and communicate directly with organisations without relying entirely on traditional gatekeepers.

Nevertheless, social change produced by new media is uneven. People who possess reliable internet access, appropriate devices, digital skills, and financial resources may benefit more than those who lack these advantages. Technological transformation can therefore create new opportunities while reinforcing existing inequalities. The appropriate response is not to reject digital innovation but to ensure that its benefits are accessible and that the social consequences of technological change are considered in public policy and institutional planning.

New Media as a Facilitator of Social Interaction and Learning

Strengthening Family and Community Relationships

One of the principal advantages of new media is its ability to sustain relationships across distance. Messaging applications, video calls, and online groups allow family members and friends to share information, discuss problems, celebrate achievements, and provide emotional support. These channels can be especially valuable when individuals are unable to meet physically because of work, migration, disability, financial constraints, or geographical separation. Digital communication can also strengthen community relationships by allowing people to organise meetings, coordinate assistance, share local information, and respond to emergencies. Community associations can use online groups to circulate announcements and discuss development issues, while professional networks can connect individuals with opportunities for collaboration. Such interactions demonstrate that digital media can extend existing social relationships rather than necessarily replace them. However, these benefits depend on the quality of communication and the extent to which digital interaction complements other forms of social participation. A family group may facilitate regular communication, but its existence does not automatically resolve disagreements or guarantee emotional closeness. Likewise, a large online network may offer many contacts without providing meaningful support. Mesch (2006) encourages attention to the relationship between internet use and household dynamics, supporting the argument that technology must be examined in its social context.

Digital Media and Educational Development

New media has expanded access to educational materials, online courses, digital libraries, instructional videos, and collaborative learning platforms. Students can consult multiple sources, communicate with instructors, exchange ideas with classmates, and access educational resources beyond the physical classroom. These opportunities are especially significant where conventional educational resources are limited or where learners need flexible arrangements.

Plowman, McPake, and Stephen (2008) examined young children's learning with technology at home and highlighted the role of everyday domestic practices in shaping technological learning. Their work suggests that children acquire digital competencies through observation, experimentation, interaction, and guidance. Access to technology can therefore contribute to learning, but the educational value of that access depends on the activities undertaken and the support available to learners. Digital learning also introduces challenges. Students may encounter inaccurate information, distractions, inappropriate content, and unequal access to devices or reliable internet services. The availability of online information does not automatically equip learners with the skills to evaluate it critically. Educational institutions should therefore teach students how to verify information, distinguish credible sources from unreliable material, cite evidence, protect personal data, and use digital tools ethically.

UNESCO (2023), in its Global Education Monitoring Report on technology in education, emphasises the importance of evaluating technology in relation to educational objectives, equity, and evidence of effectiveness. This position challenges the assumption that the introduction of devices necessarily improves educational outcomes. Technology should be

selected because it addresses an identified educational need, not simply because it is new or fashionable.

Emerging Psychological and Emotional Issues in New Media

Social Media and Psychological Well-Being

One of the most debated issues associated with new media is its relationship with psychological well-being. Social networking platforms enable users to share experiences, maintain friendships, express emotions, and receive feedback from others. However, they also expose users to social comparison, rejection, hostile comments, unrealistic portrayals of success, and pressure to maintain an attractive online identity. These experiences may affect users differently depending on their personal circumstances, existing relationships, and patterns of engagement. O'Keeffe and Clarke-Pearson (2011) discussed the potential benefits and risks of social media for children, adolescents, and families, including online harassment, privacy concerns, and the possibility of psychological distress. Their work helped establish the importance of parental guidance and awareness of children's online experiences. Nevertheless, the relationship between social media and mental health should not be reduced to the assumption that using digital platforms automatically produces psychological problems.

Fassi et al. (2024), in a systematic review and meta-analysis of social media use and internalising symptoms among adolescents, examined evidence relating digital engagement to symptoms such as anxiety and depression. The findings support continued investigation of the relationship, but the associations identified do not establish that social media use independently causes these conditions in every user. The distinction between association and causation is essential because psychological well-being is influenced by multiple interacting factors, including family circumstances, peer relationships, socioeconomic conditions, personal vulnerabilities, and experiences both online and offline.

Sala et al. (2024) similarly reviewed evidence concerning adolescent social media use and mental health and well-being. Their review highlights the importance of examining individual differences, patterns of engagement, content, and platform characteristics. Passive exposure to idealised representations of other people's lives may have different implications from active communication with supportive friends. Similarly, the experiences of a user who encounters repeated harassment may differ substantially from those of someone who uses social media to maintain meaningful relationships. The position of this paper is that psychological risks should be taken seriously without exaggerating the evidence. Families, schools, mental health professionals, and platform operators should pay attention to harmful experiences, problematic patterns of use, and signs of distress. At the same time, digital platforms may provide opportunities for companionship, information sharing, peer support, and self-expression. Effective interventions should therefore focus on the conditions associated with harm and the resources that support well-being rather than treating all digital engagement as psychologically damaging.

Social Comparison, Self-Image, and Online Identity

Social media allows individuals to construct and present selected versions of themselves to online audiences. Users can choose photographs, descriptions, achievements, and personal experiences that communicate particular identities. Although this process can support self-expression and creativity, it may also encourage individuals to compare their everyday experiences with carefully selected representations of other people's lives.

Such comparisons can create unrealistic expectations about physical appearance, financial success, relationships, professional achievement, and social acceptance. A user who repeatedly encounters idealised images may conclude that other people are happier, more successful, or

more attractive. The resulting dissatisfaction may be intensified when online approval becomes an important measure of personal worth.

However, online self-presentation is not necessarily deceptive or harmful. Individuals may use digital platforms to explore identities, find supportive communities, celebrate achievements, and communicate experiences that are difficult to discuss in their immediate environments. The central issue is whether online identity becomes so dependent on external approval that individuals experience persistent pressure to conform to unrealistic standards.

Digital literacy should therefore include discussions of selective self-presentation, social comparison, advertising, and the commercial interests underlying online content. Users should be encouraged to recognise that photographs, videos, and personal narratives often represent selected moments rather than complete accounts of people's lives. This awareness can help reduce unrealistic comparisons and promote a more critical understanding of online identity.

Anxiety, Constant Connectivity, and Social Pressure

The availability of instant messaging and social networking services has created expectations of rapid communication. Some users feel compelled to check notifications frequently, respond immediately to messages, and remain aware of developments within their online networks. Although these practices can sustain relationships, they may also create pressure when individuals believe that delayed responses will be interpreted as indifference or rejection.

Constant connectivity can blur the boundaries between work, education, leisure, and rest. Students may receive academic messages late at night, employees may feel expected to respond outside working hours, and family members may interrupt conversations to attend to notifications. When these patterns become persistent, digital communication may compete with other activities requiring concentration and emotional attention.

Yu et al. (2024), in a scoping review concerning social media, sleep, and mental health among young people, examined the relationship between digital engagement and sleep-related and psychological outcomes. The literature indicates that the timing and nature of digital use deserve attention, although the strength and direction of associations vary across studies. It is therefore more appropriate to investigate particular behaviours, such as disruptive late-night use, than to assume that all screen exposure has the same effect.

Individuals and institutions can address these concerns by establishing reasonable expectations for availability, reducing unnecessary notifications, protecting sleep routines, and creating opportunities for uninterrupted work and recreation. Families and educational institutions should also encourage balanced media habits. A healthy digital environment should enable communication without making continuous availability a condition of social acceptance or professional commitment.

New Media and Cyberbullying

Meaning and Forms of Cyberbullying

Cyberbullying is a major concern in contemporary digital environments because it allows harassment to occur through social networking platforms, messaging applications, online games, and other electronic communication channels. It may involve threatening messages, humiliation, impersonation, malicious rumours, repeated insults, exclusion from online groups, or the unauthorised circulation of private information. Unlike some forms of face-to-face bullying, online abuse can reach a large audience quickly and may remain accessible after the original incident. Bannink et al. (2014) examined cyberbullying and traditional bullying victimisation as risk factors for mental health problems and suicidal ideation among adolescents. Their research demonstrates the importance of examining bullying experiences in relation to psychological outcomes. The possibility that harmful content may be repeatedly shared, copied, or encountered by victims makes online harassment particularly difficult to

manage. The effects of cyberbullying may extend beyond the immediate online environment. Victims may experience embarrassment, fear, isolation, reputational damage, or difficulty concentrating on academic and social activities. When harassment occurs among classmates or colleagues, the digital incident may influence relationships within the physical school or workplace. The boundaries between online and offline social life are therefore increasingly interconnected. Nevertheless, not every disagreement or unpleasant online interaction should automatically be classified as cyberbullying. The nature of the behaviour, its repetition, the power relationship between those involved, the intention or effect of the conduct, and the circumstances of the victim all require consideration. Accurate identification is necessary to ensure that responses are proportionate and that individuals experiencing sustained harassment receive appropriate assistance.

Psychological and Social Consequences

Cyberbullying can undermine confidence, trust, and a person's sense of safety within digital communities. The public visibility of humiliating material may intensify the experience because victims can become concerned about who has seen the content and whether it will continue to circulate. The possibility of anonymous or pseudonymous accounts may also make it difficult to identify perpetrators or obtain immediate assistance.

Bannink et al. (2014) provide empirical support for taking bullying victimisation seriously in relation to adolescent mental health. However, the relationship between victimisation and psychological outcomes should be understood in context. The severity and duration of harassment, the availability of social support, prior experiences, and institutional responses may influence the consequences for affected individuals.

The appropriate response should therefore combine prevention, reporting, investigation, and support. Schools and universities should establish clear procedures for reporting harassment, protecting affected students, and addressing repeated abusive conduct. Parents should encourage children to disclose harmful online experiences without fear of automatic punishment or the immediate removal of all digital access. Where the behaviour may constitute a criminal offence, victims should be directed to appropriate legal and support services.

New Media, Terrorism and Online Radicalisation

The Use of Digital Platforms by Violent Extremist Groups

Digital communication has provided violent extremist organisations with additional channels for distributing propaganda, communicating with supporters, publicising activities, and attempting to recruit new members. The internet allows information to circulate across geographical boundaries and can enable groups to reach audiences without relying exclusively on conventional media organisations.

Weimann (2006), in Terror on the Internet: The New Arena, the New Challenges, examined the use of the internet by terrorist organisations and discussed the challenges associated with online propaganda, communication, and recruitment. His work established an important foundation for understanding how digital networks can be exploited by violent groups. The development of social networking platforms, video-sharing services, encrypted communication, and other digital tools has since expanded the range of channels through which extremist material may circulate.

It is important, however, to distinguish the legitimate use of digital media for political discussion, religious expression, advocacy, and social organisation from the use of these platforms to promote violence or facilitate terrorism. Online expression is diverse, and controversial opinions should not automatically be equated with extremist conduct. Effective responses require attention to credible evidence of harmful activity rather than broad assumptions about particular communities or viewpoints.

New Media, Culture, Identity, and Social Values

Digital Media and Cultural Transmission

New media has become a significant force in shaping cultural transmission, social identity, community relationships, education, and public participation by enabling individuals and communities to create, preserve, and circulate information beyond the traditional boundaries of broadcasting and publishing. Digital platforms provide opportunities to document indigenous languages, oral traditions, music, festivals, and historical experiences while connecting local cultural producers with national and international audiences. However, these opportunities also raise concerns about cultural appropriation, loss of context, unequal visibility, and the commercialisation of indigenous knowledge. Appadurai (1996) explained how global cultural flows reshape relationships between people, media, technology, and identity, while Castells (2010) demonstrated how networked communication transforms social relationships and contemporary society. Cultural hybridisation can encourage creativity and intercultural understanding, but unequal access to digital distribution may privilege dominant languages and commercially powerful cultural industries over less visible traditions. Consequently, digital cultural preservation should incorporate community participation, informed consent, appropriate attribution, and fair recognition of cultural creators.

Globalisation and Cultural Hybridisation

New media has intensified cultural exchange by making music, films, fashion, language, entertainment, and social practices from different societies more accessible. Nigerian musicians, filmmakers, writers, and content creators can reach international audiences while engaging with cultural productions from other parts of the world. These interactions encourage creativity, broaden cultural understanding, and produce hybrid forms of expression. Nevertheless, cultural exchange does not necessarily occur on equal terms because commercially powerful industries and dominant languages often enjoy greater visibility. Appadurai (1996) demonstrated how global cultural flows influence identity and cultural imagination, while Castells (2010) explained how network structures shape the circulation of information and influence. Supporting local creative industries, indigenous-language content, and cultural education is therefore important for maintaining diversity within increasingly connected media environments.

Digital Identity and the Changing Meaning of Community

Digital media has expanded the meaning of community by enabling people to establish relationships around shared interests, experiences, occupations, identities, and social causes regardless of geographical boundaries. These communities can provide emotional support, facilitate knowledge exchange, and encourage collective action. However, digital participation also raises questions about authenticity, privacy, belonging, and accountability because online popularity metrics may influence how individuals evaluate themselves and others. Online communities can reproduce prejudice, exclusion, and restrictive group thinking when members are discouraged from engaging with alternative perspectives. Castells (2010) explained how networked communication reshapes social relationships and collective identities, providing a useful foundation for understanding these developments. Healthy digital communities therefore require respectful interaction, inclusive participation, constructive disagreement, and accountability.

Digital Inequality and the Nigerian Context

Access to Technology and the Digital Divide

The digital divide refers to inequalities in access to and effective use of digital technologies. It extends beyond internet availability to include affordability, electricity, network quality, device

ownership, digital literacy, disability access, language, and the availability of relevant content. In Nigeria, digital media can expand educational opportunities, support entrepreneurship, improve public communication, and facilitate civic participation. However, high connectivity costs, unreliable infrastructure, and inadequate digital skills may prevent disadvantaged populations from benefiting fully. Van Dijk (2020) explained that digital inequality involves differences in access, skills, and patterns of technology use, indicating that connectivity alone does not guarantee meaningful participation. UNESCO (2023) similarly emphasised the importance of equity and inclusion in technology adoption. Digital inclusion policies should consequently combine affordable connectivity with digital literacy, accessible design, local-language resources, and opportunities for disadvantaged communities to participate effectively.

Digital Media and Youth Development in Nigeria

Digital media plays an important role in youth development by influencing education, social relationships, identity formation, entertainment, and career opportunities. Online platforms enable young people to acquire skills, promote creative work, establish professional networks, and access information about employment and entrepreneurship. They also provide opportunities for discussing social issues, organising community activities, and engaging with public institutions. Nevertheless, young users may encounter cyberbullying, online fraud, harmful content, misinformation, and unrealistic expectations of success. These concerns require interventions that promote safety without portraying young people as incapable of responsible decision-making. UNESCO (2023) provides a basis for emphasising equitable access, relevant learning opportunities, and the educational value of technology. Families, educational institutions, and youth organisations should therefore develop programmes that combine technical competence with critical thinking, ethical communication, privacy protection, and online safety.

Digital Media and Nigerian Cultural Preservation

Nigeria's cultural diversity creates significant opportunities for digital documentation, cultural exchange, and creative production. Digital platforms enable communities to preserve oral histories, publish local-language materials, promote festivals, circulate traditional music, and document historical sites. They also allow musicians, filmmakers, writers, visual artists, and cultural organisations to reach wider audiences and develop new professional relationships. However, cultural preservation requires more than uploading content because traditional practices derive meaning from language, history, community relationships, and specific social functions. Appadurai (1996) demonstrated how global cultural circulation influences identity and cultural representation, while Castells (2010) explained how networked communication changes the production and distribution of information. These perspectives support an approach that combines digital innovation with community ownership, informed consent, accurate contextual information, and fair recognition of cultural contributors.

Platform Design, Datafication and User Autonomy

How Platform Features Shape Behaviour

Digital platforms influence users not only through the information they provide but also through the technological features that organise online experiences. Notifications, personalised recommendations, continuous feeds, and engagement counts can improve convenience while encouraging frequent checking and prolonged engagement. Platform design also influences which messages attract attention, potentially giving emotionally provocative or sensational content greater visibility. Sala et al. (2024), in an umbrella review of research on social media and adolescent mental health, highlighted the importance of considering different patterns of use, individual circumstances, and platform experiences when interpreting outcomes. These

concerns extend beyond mental health to privacy, cultural visibility, public debate, and user autonomy. Responsible technology governance should therefore consider the influence of platform design rather than placing the entire burden of responsible use on individuals.

Datafication and Privacy

Datafication refers to the conversion of activities, behaviours, and interactions into data that can be collected, analysed, stored, and used by organisations. Digital platforms may gather information about users' interests, preferences, locations, and patterns of engagement to personalise services or support commercial activities. However, inadequate transparency and weak user control can create privacy risks, including unauthorised disclosure and unwanted profiling. Zuboff (2019) examined the commercial exploitation of behavioural data and its implications for individual autonomy. Protecting privacy requires understandable policies, data minimisation, appropriate security safeguards, meaningful user controls, and accessible complaint procedures. Users also need practical knowledge of password security, multifactor authentication, and cautious disclosure of sensitive information. Responsibility must nevertheless be shared with organisations that collect and process personal data.

Commercial Interests and the Public Interest

Commercial interests influence how digital platforms are designed, how information is distributed, and which content receives visibility. Advertising, subscriptions, data collection, and user engagement can support innovation but may also encourage the prioritisation of sensational or commercially attractive material. Gillespie (2018) examined how platform moderation and content-governance decisions shape online communication, demonstrating that platforms exercise considerable influence over public expression. These practices raise questions about transparency, accountability, freedom of expression, and the distribution of cultural and political visibility. Digital platforms should therefore be evaluated according to their contribution to reliable information, privacy, cultural diversity, and meaningful participation, rather than profitability alone. Appropriate regulatory oversight, independent research, and transparent advertising practices can strengthen accountability while protecting legitimate expression.

New Media, Sleep, Attention, and Everyday Life

The Blurring of Work, Education, and Leisure

New media has altered the boundaries between professional responsibilities, educational activities, entertainment, and personal relationships. Mobile devices enable employees to work remotely, students to access learning resources outside school hours, and families to communicate across geographical distances. Although these arrangements increase flexibility, continuous connectivity can create expectations of immediate responses and reduce opportunities for rest. Venkatesh and Vitalari (1985) examined household computing and its implications for domestic life, providing an early foundation for understanding how technology reorganises everyday activities. Contemporary digital environments similarly raise questions about whether technology increases users' control over their time or creates additional demands on their attention. Employers and educational institutions should establish reasonable expectations regarding availability, while individuals and families should protect periods for rest, recreation, and uninterrupted interaction.

Sleep and Psychological Well-Being

Digital media use can influence sleep and psychological well-being, particularly when late-night messaging, gaming, notifications, or continuous content consumption interfere with bedtime routines. However, outcomes depend on the timing, nature, and intensity of use, as

well as individual circumstances. Sala et al. (2024) demonstrated the importance of interpreting research on social media and adolescent mental health in relation to different experiences and patterns of engagement. Fassi et al. (2024) also examined associations between social media use and internalising symptoms, reinforcing the need to avoid simplistic conclusions about causation. Practical measures include reducing unnecessary notifications before bedtime, maintaining consistent sleep routines, and establishing reasonable expectations for responding to messages. Parents and educators should encourage self-regulation rather than relying exclusively on punishment or blanket restrictions. Healthy digital participation requires balance between communication, entertainment, essential responsibilities, relationships, and adequate rest.

New Media, Education, and the Development of Digital Literacy

Digital Literacy Beyond Technical Competence

Digital literacy involves more than operating devices or using applications; it includes evaluating information, recognising manipulation, protecting personal data, communicating ethically, and understanding digital environments. Individuals may be technically proficient yet struggle to distinguish credible information from misinformation or manipulated images. UNESCO (2023) argued that educational technology should be assessed according to its educational purposes, effectiveness, and implications for equity. This perspective reinforces the importance of integrating critical information evaluation and responsible digital participation into formal education. Students should learn to verify claims, compare sources, acknowledge intellectual contributions, protect privacy, and communicate respectfully. These competencies support academic achievement and responsible participation in society.

New Media and Academic Integrity

Digital resources have transformed how students research, write, collaborate, and complete academic assignments. Online libraries, scholarly databases, and learning platforms can support independent investigation, but they can also facilitate plagiarism, unacknowledged copying, and reliance on inaccurate information. The central issue is not the use of digital resources itself but whether students understand the difference between accessing information and producing original academic work. UNESCO (2023) emphasised evaluating technology according to its educational value, providing a basis for prioritising meaningful learning over technological adoption alone. Educational institutions should teach citation practices, source verification, responsible collaboration, and the ethical use of digital tools, including artificial intelligence. Assessment should encourage critical analysis and original argument rather than mechanical reproduction of online material.

Teachers, Parents, and Educational Institutions

The effective integration of new media into education requires cooperation among teachers, parents, administrators, technology providers, and policymakers. Teachers need appropriate training to select digital tools, evaluate online resources, support collaboration, and identify learning difficulties. Parents also require guidance on helping children navigate digital environments and balance educational, recreational, and social activities. Plowman et al. (2008) demonstrated the importance of everyday interactions and adult guidance in children's learning with technology at home. UNESCO (2023) further emphasised that technology adoption should be evaluated against actual educational needs and evidence of effectiveness. A responsible educational strategy should therefore combine infrastructure, teacher development, accessible learning resources, digital literacy, and continuous evaluation.

New Media and the Responsibilities of Media Organisations

Changing Journalism and Information Distribution

Digital media has transformed news production and distribution by enabling rapid publication, multimedia reporting, direct audience interaction, and citizen participation. Individuals can contribute eyewitness accounts, photographs, and videos that supplement conventional reporting. However, competition for attention and pressure to publish immediately can encourage the circulation of unverified information. Ireton and Posetti (2018) emphasised the importance of verification and critical understanding of misinformation in contemporary journalism. Media organisations must therefore maintain professional standards of accuracy, fairness, source assessment, privacy protection, and transparent correction. Digital innovation should improve the reach and accessibility of journalism without weakening its public-service responsibilities.

Misinformation and Public Trust

Misinformation can undermine public trust when audiences repeatedly encounter false claims, manipulated content, and contradictory reports. Digital platforms can amplify these problems because users may share messages based on emotional reactions, prior beliefs, or trust in the person who transmitted them. Wardle and Derakhshan (2017) developed an interdisciplinary framework for understanding information disorder and distinguishing different forms of misleading information. Their work highlights the need to examine both the nature of problematic content and the circumstances in which it is produced and circulated. Media organisations should strengthen verification, distinguish established facts from allegations, and issue visible corrections. Public institutions should also provide accessible and timely information, while audiences should be encouraged to examine original sources and compare independent accounts before sharing claims.

Media Ethics and Professional Responsibility

The availability of digital publication tools does not eliminate the ethical responsibilities associated with communication. Journalists and media organisations must consider accuracy, consent, privacy, fairness, potential harm, and the public interest when publishing information. These considerations are especially important when reporting violence, emergencies, children, or vulnerable individuals whose safety may be affected by disclosure. Ireton and Posetti (2018) highlighted the importance of professional verification and responsible journalism in an environment characterised by information disorder. Media organisations should maintain editorial procedures for authenticating digital material, protecting sources, correcting errors, and responding to online harassment. Ethical digital communication requires technical competence alongside professional judgement, institutional accountability, and respect for freedom of expression.

A Position for Responsible and Inclusive Digital Participation

Responsible digital participation requires coordinated action by individuals, families, educational institutions, media organisations, technology companies, cultural communities, and governments. Digital literacy should be a continuing educational priority, equipping people to evaluate sources, recognise manipulation, protect personal information, and communicate respectfully. Families and educational institutions should encourage intentional technology use through guidance, open discussion, and reasonable expectations regarding device use. Technology companies should strengthen privacy protections, provide accessible reporting systems, explain content-recommendation practices, and respond effectively to harassment. Governments should promote affordable connectivity, accessible infrastructure, digital skills, locally relevant content, and evidence-informed regulation that respects legitimate expression.

Media organisations should maintain professional standards of accuracy, verification, fairness, and accountability, while cultural institutions should document indigenous knowledge with appropriate consent and community recognition. Individuals also have responsibilities to verify sensational claims, respect privacy, avoid amplifying harassment, and seek assistance when online experiences become harmful. UNESCO (2023) supports the broader principle that technological adoption should be evaluated according to its contribution to educational and social objectives rather than assumed to be beneficial in itself. These measures are complementary because individual digital literacy cannot compensate entirely for unsafe platform design, just as regulation cannot replace education and responsible user behaviour.

Limitations of Existing Research and Directions for Further Study

Research on new media continues to face limitations arising from differences in how studies define social media use, measure psychological well-being, distinguish active participation from passive consumption, and account for individual circumstances. Some studies focus on time spent online, while others examine particular activities, content types, or experiences of harassment, making direct comparisons difficult. A further limitation concerns causality because an association between social media use and psychological distress does not establish that digital engagement independently causes the distress. Fassi et al. (2024) and Sala et al. (2024) illustrate the importance of interpreting relationships between digital engagement and psychological outcomes in relation to the characteristics and limitations of the available evidence. Research conducted in one country may also fail to capture the influence of different cultural values, household structures, educational systems, languages, and socioeconomic conditions elsewhere. Further studies in Nigeria should investigate how connectivity costs, digital literacy, family communication, online safety, cultural practices, and platform design influence digital experiences. Surveys can establish patterns of use, while interviews, focus groups, and longitudinal research can provide deeper insights into individual experiences and changes over time. Additional research should examine institutional responsibilities concerning privacy, misinformation, online harassment, cultural representation, and digital inclusion. Such investigations would contribute to evidence-informed policies and a more context-sensitive understanding of how digital technologies benefit particular populations, under what circumstances, and with what social consequences.

Conclusion

New media technologies have become central to contemporary social life, transforming the ways individuals communicate, maintain relationships, learn, express cultural identities, and participate in public affairs. Digital platforms provide opportunities for communication across distance, access to educational resources, cultural preservation, economic activity, and civic engagement. Research on family communication and domestic technology use demonstrates why these opportunities must be considered alongside the ways digital technologies influence household boundaries, leisure, and interpersonal relationships (Mesch, 2006; Morrison & Krugman, 2001; Smith et al., 2009).

At the same time, the expansion of digital media has created important challenges involving psychological well-being, cyberbullying, misinformation, privacy, unequal access, and the exploitation of online communication by malicious actors. Research on bullying and adolescent mental health demonstrates the importance of taking harmful online experiences seriously, while reviews of social media and well-being caution against assuming that every form of digital engagement produces identical outcomes (Bannink et al., 2014; Fassi et al., 2024; Sala et al., 2024). These issues require evidence-informed responses that recognise differences among users, platforms, and social circumstances.

The central position advanced in this paper is that new media should neither be rejected because of its risks nor celebrated without critical examination. Its social consequences emerge from the interaction of technological design, individual behaviour, cultural values, commercial interests, institutional practices, and public policy. Technology can strengthen social relationships when it supports meaningful communication, but it can also disrupt those relationships when its use becomes intrusive, exclusionary, or harmful. A responsible approach should therefore prioritise digital literacy, inclusive access, privacy protection, cultural diversity, professional media ethics, platform accountability, and the prevention of online harm. Families and educational institutions should help people develop the skills required for safe and meaningful participation, while governments and technology companies should address structural inequalities and identifiable risks. Media organisations should maintain professional standards, and communities should participate actively in preserving their cultural knowledge and identities. In conclusion, the value of new media should be judged not merely by its speed, popularity, technical sophistication, or commercial success, but by its contribution to human development and social well-being. When technological innovation is accompanied by critical awareness, ethical responsibility, inclusive policies, and meaningful human relationships, new media can become an important resource for social development rather than a source of avoidable social harm.

References

Aarsand, P. A. (2007). Computer and video games in family life: The digital divide as a resource in intergenerational interactions. *Childhood*, 14(2), 235–256.

Amedie, J. (2015). *The impact of social media on society. Advanced Writing: Pop Culture Intersections*, 2. Santa Clara University.

Appadurai, A. (1996). *Modernity at large: Cultural dimensions of globalization*. University of Minnesota Press.

Bannink, R., Broeren, S., van de Looij-Jansen, P. M., de Waart, F. G., & Raat, H. (2014). Cyber and traditional bullying victimization as a risk factor for mental health problems and suicidal ideation in adolescents. *PLOS ONE*, 9(4), Article e94026. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0094026

Castells, M. (2010). *The rise of the network society* (2nd ed., with a new preface). Wiley-Blackwell.

Couldry, N., & Mejias, U. A. (2019). Data colonialism: Rethinking big data's relation to the contemporary subject. *Television & New Media*, 20(4), 336–349. https://doi.org/10.1177/1527476418796632

Fassi, L., Thomas, K., Parry, D. A., Leyland-Craggs, A., Ford, T. J., & Orben, A. (2024). Social media use and internalizing symptoms in clinical and community adolescent samples: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 178(8), 814–822. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2024.2078

Gillespie, T. (2018). *Custodians of the Internet: Platforms, content moderation, and the hidden decisions that shape social media*. Yale University Press.

Ireton, C., & Posetti, J. (Eds.). (2018). *Journalism, "fake news" and disinformation: Handbook for journalism education and training*. UNESCO.

Mesch, G. S. (2006). Family relations and the internet: Exploring a family boundaries approach. *Journal of Family Communication*, 6(2), 119–138. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327698jfc0602_2

Morrison, M., & Krugman, D. M. (2001). A look at mass and computer mediated technologies: Understanding the roles of television and computers in the home. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 45(1), 135–161. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15506878jobem4501_9

O'Keeffe, G. S., & Clarke-Pearson, K. (2011). The impact of social media on children, adolescents, and families. *Pediatrics*, 127(4), 800–804. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2011-0054

Plowman, L., McPake, J., & Stephen, C. (2008). Just picking it up? Young children learning with technology at home. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 38(3), 303–319. https://doi.org/10.1080/03057640802287564

Sala, A., Porcaro, L., & Gómez, E. (2024). Social media use and adolescents' mental health and well-being: An umbrella review. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 14, Article 100404. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbr.2024.100404

Smith, K. M., Freeman, P. A., & Zabriskie, R. B. (2009). An examination of family communication within the Core and Balance Model of Family Leisure Functioning. *Family Relations*, 58(1), 79–90. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3729.2008.00536.x

UNESCO. (2023). *Global education monitoring report 2023: Technology in education*—A tool on whose terms? UNESCO.

Van Dijk, J. (2020). *The digital divide*. Polity Press.

Venkatesh, A., & Vitalari, N. P. (1985). Computing in the home: Shifts in the time allocation patterns of households. *Communications of the ACM*, 28(5), 512–522. https://doi.org/10.1145/3532.3537

Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). *Information disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework for research and policy making*. Council of Europe.

Weimann, G. (2006). *Terror on the internet: The new arena, the new challenges*. United States Institute of Peace Press.

Yoon, K. (2006). Local sociality in young people's mobile communications: A Korean case study. *Childhood*, 13(2), 155–174.

Yu, D. J., Wing, Y. K., Li, T. M. H., & Chan, N. Y. (2024). The impact of social media use on sleep and mental health in youth: A scoping review. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 26, 104–119. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-024-01481-9

Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power*. PublicAffairs.